Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/545,111	ANTONIOUS, ANTHONY J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sebastiano Passaniti	3711
	Status of Application: Pe	ending
All Participants:	(3)	
(1) <u>Sebastiano Passaniti</u> .		
(2) <u>Howard Flaxman</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 23 November 2004	Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed:  None		·
Part II.		AND DISCUISSED:
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCOSSED.
Part III.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer	to record of the substance of	the interview, since the interview
Harrant		
	cant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	oditat ippitesite = 1	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

It was noted that the Amendment received 03/09/2001 failed to indicate bracketing and underlining in this reissue application to note the deletions and additions, respectively, to the specification. Applicant's attorney was informed that an examiner's amendment would be prepared to provide bracketing and underlining, as necessary, and that the content of the pages presented with changes in the 03/09/01 amendment would be compared to the original specification and reviewed for clarity.

Sebastiano Passaniti

Primary Examiner